# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

#### BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

OTHER:



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,310	04/16/2001	Kai Lu	,	5695
75	90 08/24/200	•	EXAM	INER
Kai Lu	•		BARNES, C	CRYSTAL J
4320 Grove St. Skokie, IL 600	076	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5.101.10, 12 00			2121	
		-	DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 8 2004

Technology Center 2100

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/835,3	10	LU, KAI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Crystal J.	Barnes	2121	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress
Period fo	or Reply Ortened Statutory Period F	OR REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come to period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) period for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutatutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ly. :ommunication.
Status					,
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>16 April 2001</u> .			
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	·	•		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
/)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ation and/or aloation s	a a visa m a nt		
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	equirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 200	<u>1</u> is/are: a)□ accepte	d or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner. No	ite the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority				
	2. Certified copies of the priority				l Ctoops
	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation			eu in triis National	Staye
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action			ed.	
	•	P	•		
•					
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PT	O-152)
. 40.					

Art Unit: 2121

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is an initial Office Action upon examination of the aboveidentified application on the merits. Claims 1-9 are pending in this application.

#### Drawings

2. Figures 1-7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of undue length.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/835,310

Art Unit: 2121

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: a utility application should include certain sections in a particular order. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

# Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the section heading may be omitted or the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

Art Unit: 2121

(c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 7. A substitute specification including the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the number of the amendments render it difficult to arrange the papers for printing or copying.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added

Art Unit: 2121

subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

#### Claim Objections

- 8. Claims 1-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. each claim should consist of only one sentence.
    - Capital letters should be changed to lowercase unless they begin the claim/sentence.
    - ii. After "as follows", consider using a colon instead of a period.
    - iii. Consider using commas and/or semicolons instead of periods to separate the limitations of the claims.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2121

- b. change "packet supposes to carry" on lines 3-4 of claims 2 and 7 to "packet carries"
- c. the term "we" should be removed from the claims.
  - iv. Consider changing "we can recover the lost information" on lines 5-6 of claim 2 to "the lost information can be recovered"
  - v. Consider changing "we drop all the even-number packets." on lines
    2-3 of claim 3 to "all the even-number packets are dropped."
  - vi. Consider changing "we drop all the odd-number packets." on lines 2-3 of claim 4 to "all the odd-number packets are dropped."
  - vii. Consider changing "we use the required data and save the redundant data." on lines 2-3 of claims 5 and 9 to "the required data is used and the redundant data is saved."
  - viii. Consider changing "we use the saved redundant data" on line 3 of claim 5 to "the saved redundant data is used".
  - ix. Consider changing "we discard the saved redundant data." on line 4 of claim 5 to "the saved redundant data is discarded."
  - x. Consider changing "we can recover the lost data from the redundant data of the received packet." on lines 5-6 of claim 7 to "the

lost data can be recovered from the redundant data of the received packet."

- xi. Consider changing "we keep one packet and drop N packets that follow the kept packet," on lines 2-3 of claim 8 to "one packet is kept and N packets that follow the kept packet are dropped".
- xii. Consider changing "we will use the first i parts of the redundant data of the received packet" on lines 3-4 of claim 9 to "the first i parts of the redundant data of the received packet will be used".
- xiii. Consider changing "we only use the required data and discard the saved redundant data." on line 5 of claim 5 to "only the required data is used and the saved redundant data is discarded."

Appropriate correction is required.

9. Claim 2-5 and 7-9 recite "said the" in the first line of each claim. Using only "said" demonstrates sufficient antecedent basis for a limitation in a claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be

Art Unit: 2121

patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 1, 2, 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,434,606 B1 to Borella et al. in view of US Pub. No. 2002/0095498 A1 to Chanda et al.

As per claims 1 and 6, the Borella et al. reference discloses a method for transmitting the time-critical data over Ethernet/packet-switched networks; the method comprising: a scheme of carrying the redundant data (see column 7 lines 50-53, "frame n-1, frame n-2") in each packet ("data packet n"), which can be used to recover the lost information (see column 7 lines 54-57, "frame n-1, frame n-2") when a packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") is dropped; a strategy of actively and selectively dropping packets when congestion happens to resolve the traffic congestion, the lost information ("frame n-1, frame n-2") will be able to be recovered from the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2"); and a means of recovering the lost information ("frame n-1, frame n-2") when packet-drop ("data packets n-1 and n-2") happens, so that the quality of transmission will not be affected.

The Borella et al. reference does not expressly disclose a strategy of actively and selectively dropping packets when congestion happens to resolve the traffic congestion.

Art Unit: 2121

The Chanda et al. reference discloses

(see page 3 [0034], "... traffic regulator 210 regulates data flow ... by determining which packets to drop is any.")

(see page 3 [0035], "Interface 226 receives packets ... and either transmits or drops the packets received ...")

(see page 4 [0044], "... logic checks to see if there is a congestion at the traffic shaper ... If congestion exists, the packet is temporarily stored in the interface ...")

(see page 5 [0045], " ... logic 230 decides which packet to drop.")

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the real time communication buffer management device taught by the Borella et al. reference with the high speed data transmission technologies taught by the Chanda et al. reference to provide fast, flexible, efficient network connections.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide fast, flexible, efficient network connections to provide improved services to users.

As per claim 2, the Borella et al. reference discloses said scheme of carrying the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") in each packet ("data packet n") can be done as follows: the data ("frame n, frame n-1, frame n-2") carried in each packet

Art Unit: 2121

("data packet n") is composed of two parts, the required data ("frame n") and the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2"); the required data ("frame n") is the data that the packet ("data packet n") carries in the case without redundant data and the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is the required data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") of the next packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") so that if the next packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") so that if the next packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") is dropped, the lost information ("frame n-1, frame n-2") can be recovered from the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") of this packet ("data packet n").

As per claim 5, the Borella et al. reference discloses said means of recovering the lost data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") when packet-drop ("data packets n-1 and n-2") happens can be accomplished as follows: when a packet ("data packet n") arrives, the required data ("frame n") is used and the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is saved (see column 11 lines 34-36, "buffered"); if the next packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") is dropped, the saved redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is used as if the next packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") was received; if the next packet ("data packets n-1 and n-2") arrives, the saved redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is discarded (see column 11 lines 34-36, "discarded").

As per claim 7, the Borella et al. reference discloses said the scheme of carrying the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") in each packet ("data packet n")

Art Unit: 2121

can be done as follows: the data ("frame n, frame n-1, frame n-2") carried in each packet ("data packet n") is composed of two parts: the required data ("frame n") and the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2"), the required data ("frame n") is the data that the packet ("data packet n") carries in the case without redundant data, the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is the required data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") of the next N packets ("data packets n-1 and n-2"), where N=1,2,3...., so that if any of the next N packets ("data packets n-1 and n-2") are dropped we can recover the lost data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") from the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") of the received packet ("data packet n").

As per claim 9, the Borella et al. reference discloses said means of recovering the lost data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") when packet-drop ("data packets n-1 and n-2") happens can be accomplished as follows: when a packet ("data packet n") arrives, the required data ("frame n") is used and the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is saved (see column 11 lines 34-36, "buffered"); if the next i packets ("data packets n-1 and n-2") are dropped, the first i parts of the redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") of the received packet ("data packet n") will be used to recover the lost data ("frame n-1, frame n-2"), where i = 1, 2, ... N; if no packet is dropped, only the required data ("frame n") is used and the saved redundant data ("frame n-1, frame n-2") is discarded (see column 11 lines 34-36, "discarded").

Art Unit: 2121

12. Claims 3, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,434,606 B1 to Borella et al. in view of US Pub. No. 2002/0095498 A1 to Chanda et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 5-7 and 9 above, and further in view of logical reasoning.

As per claim 3, the Borella et al. and the Chanda et al. references do not expressly disclose said strategy of actively and selectively dropping packets when congestion happens can be done as follows: when congestion happens, we drop all the even-number packets, all the lost data can be recovered from all the odd-number packets' redundant data.

As per claim 4, the Borella et al. and the Chanda et al. references do not expressly disclose said strategy of actively and selectively dropping packets when congestion happens can be done as follows: when congestion happens, we drop all the odd-number packets, all the lost data can be recovered from all the even-number packets' redundant data.

As per claim 8, the Borella et al. and the Chanda et al. references do not expressly disclose said the strategy of actively and selectively dropping packets when congestion happens can be done as follows: when congestion happens, we keep one packet and drop N packets that follow the kept packet, where N= 1, 2, 3 ...., all the lost data can be recovered from the redundant data of the kept packet.

However, it would have been logical for one of ordinary skill in the art to

modify the criteria for packet dropping in order to relieve traffic congestion in a network and compensate for that packet loss by data replication or redundancy

without increasing the required bandwidth.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the criteria for packet-dropping taught by both the Borella et al. and the Chanda et al. references by utilizing any user specified priority scheme in order to relieve traffic congestion in a network.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply any user specified priority scheme to control packet dropping in order to relieve traffic congestion in a network and compensate for the packet loss by data replication or redundancy without increasing the required bandwidth.

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to high-speed data transmission in general:

USPN 5,883,891 to Williams et al.

Art Unit: 2121

USPN 6,636,530 B1 to O'Brien

US Pub. No. 2002/0061018 A1 to Chien

14. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal J. Barnes whose telephone number is 703.306.5448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday alternate Mondays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,310 Page 15

Art Unit: 2121

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703.308.3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cjb 9 August 2004 Anthony Knight Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/835,310 Examiner Crystal J. Barnes Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination LU, KAI Page 1 of 1

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,434,606	08-2002	Borella et al.	709/214
*	В	US-5,883,891	03-1999	Williams et al.	370/356
*	С	US-6,636,530	10-2003	O'Brien, Royal	370/476
	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US- ·			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

North Atlanta Data and a second a second and					
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
*	U	US Pub. No. 2002/0095498 A1 to Chanda et al.			
*	V	US Pub. No. 2002/0061018 A1 to Chien			
	w				
	X				

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action: (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.